RESEAPRO
JOURNALS

REVIEW

OPEN ACCESS

Empowering biodiversity: CRISPR-based genetic rescue strategies for endangered species

Pratyush Malik

Department of Biotechnology, KIIT University, Bhubaneswar, Odisha

ABSTRACT

This review article explores the transformative possibilities of CRISPR-based genetic rescue for endangered species, connecting conservation genetics with advanced genetic editing technologies. The focus of this review includes a thorough analysis of innovative CRISPR techniques that seek to fix harmful mutations, improve genetic diversity, and strengthen population resilience in at-risk species. Significant progress in CRISPR-Cas systems comprising enhancements in editing accuracy, effectiveness, and new gene drive methods for non-model organisms are critically assessed. Through a detailed evaluation of recent case studies, the review illustrates both successful uses and the technical hurdles faced, such as off-target impacts, ecological ambiguities, and ethical issues. Key conclusions indicate that although CRISPR-based solutions present hopeful pathways for reducing extinction threats, their real-world application must align with conventional conservation methods and be overseen by solid regulatory systems. Future outlooks stress the necessity for interdisciplinary cooperation among geneticists, ecologists, and policymakers to develop responsible directives for gene editing in wildlife. Ultimately, this review highlights the capacity of CRISPR as a groundbreaking instrument for genetic rescue and conservation, providing a guide for forthcoming research that could greatly aid in the safeguarding of global biodiversity.

Introduction

Biodiversity loss has become one of the most urgent environmental issues of our era. Human actions, such as habitat destruction, climate change, pollution, and the overuse of natural resources, have sped up the decline of species at an unparalleled rate. As ecosystems grow increasingly fragmented and degraded, numerous species are confronted with genetic erosion, lowered reproductive fitness, and a heightened risk of extinction. In this situation, conventional conservation techniques, although vital, are occasionally inadequate to combat the swift rate of biodiversity loss. Genetic rescue, which aims to enhance the genetic diversity of endangered populations, has gained traction as an encouraging method to strengthen species resilience and improve chances of survival [1,2].

In recent times, the emergence of CRISPR-based gene-editing technologies has transformed the sphere of conservation genetics. CRISPR-Cas systems provide unmatched precision and effectiveness in genome editing, allowing scientists to rectify harmful mutations, boost genetic diversity, and even add advantageous traits that could improve a species adaptability to evolving environmental circumstances. This breakthrough has generated significant enthusiasm for applying CRISPR to genetic rescue initiatives, with the potential to change how we manage and protect endangered species. While traditional genetic management techniques have depended on selective breeding and translocation, CRISPR offers a more focused approach, providing the option of fixing genetic defects at the molecular level [2,3].

This review intends to deliver a thorough analysis of CRISPR innovations in relation to genetic rescue for threatened species. The goals are threefold: first, to outline the present advancements in CRISPR technologies and their application in conservation; second, to assess case studies where gene editing has been executed or suggested as a tool for genetic rescue; and third, to explore the technical, ecological, and ethical obstacles that need to be overcome to incorporate these advanced methods into mainstream conservation strategies. The importance of this review lies in its ability to connect cutting-edge genomic technologies with practical conservation efforts. By synthesizing recent research findings, identifying current limitations, and emphasizing future directions, this review aims to educate policymakers, conservationists, and scientists about the viable opportunities and inherent challenges of utilizing CRISPR for genetic rescue. In the end, it advocates for a multidisciplinary strategy that merges the advantages of traditional conservation practices with innovative gene-editing methods to ensure a more resilient future for the planet's endangered species [4].

Concepts and Historical Perspectives in Genetic Rescue

Genetic rescue is a conservation tactic designed to improve the viability of small, inbred populations by introducing new genetic material to diminish the impacts of inbreeding depression and enhance adaptive capacity. At its essence, genetic rescue focuses on increasing genetic diversity to elevate

overall fitness, survival, and reproductive success in threatened populations [5]. The foundational concepts are based in population genetics, where a varied gene pool decreases the accumulation of harmful alleles and improves the ability to adapt to changes in the environment. Traditionally, this approach has depended on translocating individuals between isolated populations or carefully organized breeding programs to bring in beneficial genetic variation [6].

Historically, standard genetic management practices have formed the basis of genetic rescue initiatives. For example, the intentional introduction of individuals from genetically diverse populations has been used in many case studies. A prominent instance is the Florida panther, where the introduction of Texas cougars into the declining panther population reversed significant inbreeding depression. This intervention not only enhanced physical and reproductive characteristics but also provided essential insights into the effectiveness of gene flow in revitalizing population health. Likewise, translocation efforts in species such as the greater prairie chicken and Scandinavian wolves have demonstrated the potential advantages of genetic rescue when populations are experiencing low genetic diversity [7,8].

Despite the achievements of these traditional methods, they frequently face constraints such as logistical issues, the unpredictability of natural mating behaviors, and the danger of outbreeding depression, where combining genetically distinct populations may result in maladaptation. These challenges have led to the emergence of modern gene editing techniques that offer more precise and controlled interventions. For instance, CRISPR-based gene editing presents the opportunity to rectify specific harmful mutations or insert adaptive alleles without extensive translocations. By focusing on the genetic foundations of inbreeding depression, contemporary gene editing could enhance the advantages of genetic rescue, ensuring that only the targeted genetic alterations are integrated into the population [9,10].

Modern techniques do not entirely replace traditional practices but instead work as a complement. While translocation and selective breeding have established a foundation for understanding genetic rescue, gene editing technologies supply a focused means to address the intrinsic unpredictability of natural gene flow. Furthermore, combining these advanced methods with established conservation strategies can yield more resilient results. For example, a combined strategy could utilize gene editing to pre-screen and correct important genetic flaws before individuals are released into a wild population. This integration could optimize the adaptive capacity of endangered species while reducing ecological hazards [11,12].

Historical case studies have offered essential insights regarding the dynamics of genetic diversity and population recovery. They highlight the necessity for comprehensive genetic monitoring and adaptive management strategies. As conservation issues intensify due to climate change and habitat fragmentation, the combination of modern gene editing tools with traditional genetic management signifies a promising avenue. Looking ahead, teamwork among geneticists, ecologists, and conservation professionals will be vital to refining these methods and ensuring that genetic rescue endeavors are both scientifically valid and ethically responsible [13].

CRISPR Technologies: Fundamentals and Advances

CRISPR-Cas systems have transformed genetic engineering by offering a flexible and effective approach for accurate genome editing. Initially identified as an adaptive immune system in bacteria and archaea, these systems use short RNA sequences to direct Cas nucleases to specific DNA targets, where they create double-stranded breaks. The ensuing repair by cellular machinery through non-homologous end joining or homology-directed repair permits researchers to disrupt, delete, or replace target genes with outstanding precision [13,14].

The most prevalent CRISPR system features Cas9, a nuclease sourced from Streptococcus pyogenes, which has been modified for application across numerous organisms. In this framework, a single-guide RNA (sgRNA) directs Cas9 to a complementary DNA sequence near a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM). Once attached, Cas9 cuts the DNA, and the cell's repair systems are employed to make accurate edits. Technological progress has led to the creation of high-fidelity Cas9 variants, such as SpCas9-HF1 and eSpCas9, which considerably diminish off-target effects, thus improving precision in gene editing endeavors [15].

Alongside enhancements in nuclease specificity, the CRISPR toolkit has broadened to encompass base editors and prime editors. Base editing facilitates the direct, irreversible conversion of one DNA base to another without causing double-stranded breaks, thereby reducing potential genomic instability. Prime editing, a more recent advancement, merges a reverse transcriptase with a modified Cas9 nickase to allow for the targeted insertion, deletion, or substitution of DNA segments with even higher precision. These advancements not only bolster efficiency but also expand the range of possible genomic alterations, creating pathways for more advanced genetic interventions [16].

The application of CRISPR techniques to non-model and wild species presents distinct challenges and opportunities. Non-model organisms frequently lack fully annotated genomes, and their varied cellular environments can influence the effectiveness of CRISPR components. To overcome these challenges, researchers have optimized delivery methods suited to different species, utilizing viral vectors, electroporation, and microinjection techniques. Recent research has successfully implemented CRISPR in a variety of wild species, from amphibians and insects to plants and mammals, showcasing its adaptability in non-laboratory contexts [17,18].

For example, in conservation biology, CRISPR is being investigated as a method for genetic rescue in endangered species. Efforts are ongoing to modify CRISPR protocols for species with limited genomic data, seeking to correct harmful mutations or boost genetic diversity. These applications are further supported by advancements in bioinformatics, which aid in the design of sgRNAs even in species with incomplete genomic information. Concurrently, improvements in in vitro culture and embryo manipulation techniques have enabled more dependable delivery of CRISPR components in non-model organisms, unveiling new possibilities for field applications [19].

Moreover, the development of CRISPR technologies is increasingly marked by a collaborative, interdisciplinary approach. Advancements in synthetic biology, along with computational modeling, have expedited the design and optimization of CRISPR systems, guaranteeing that they can be swiftly adapted to a diverse range of species and genetic contexts. As the technology continues to progress, it is anticipated that CRISPR-based gene editing will not only improve our understanding of fundamental biology but also play a crucial role in the conservation of biodiversity through targeted genetic rescue strategies [20].

In summary, the progress of CRISPR-Cas systems from the essential Cas9 mechanism to sophisticated base and prime editors has significantly improved precision and efficiency in genome editing. The successful application of these technologies to non-model and wild species highlights their potential to revolutionize areas ranging from basic research to conservation genetics, providing promising new approaches for genetic rescue and biodiversity preservation [21].

Applications of CRISPR in Genetic Rescue of Threatened Species

The use of CRISPR in genetic rescue has opened new promising paths for improving the survival of endangered species by directly tackling harmful mutations and enhancing genetic variety. This method utilizes the accuracy of CRISPR-Cas systems to rectify genetic flaws, introduce advantageous alleles, or eliminate detrimental mutations that lead to inbreeding depression and decreased fitness in small populations. By addressing the underlying cause of genetic decline, CRISPR presents the opportunity to not only halt the reduction of at-risk species but also to strengthen their ability to adapt to swiftly changing surroundings [20,21].

One example includes efforts to implement CRISPR-based solutions in amphibian groups threatened by chytridiomycosis a fungal illness that has significantly reduced numerous frog populations globally. Initial projects have investigated the feasibility of utilizing gene editing to boost disease resistance by fixing mutations in immune-related genes or by incorporating alleles known to provide heightened resilience against fungal diseases. While still in the research stage, these initiatives demonstrate how CRISPR could be customized to tackle specific issues that traditional conservation methods have struggled to address [22].

In the field of mammalian conservation, theoretical models and preliminary laboratory studies are exploring the potential for CRISPR to aid species such as the Tasmanian devil, which is afflicted by a contagious cancer that has dramatically affected its numbers. Researchers are examining whether gene editing could be employed to alter immune system components or other genetic variables to provide resistance to the disease, thus enhancing survival chances. Likewise, for species like the Florida panther, which historically gained from translocations to mitigate inbreeding, CRISPR-based methods may offer a more targeted approach to rectifying harmful recessive alleles that continue to linger despite natural gene flow [23].

Birds and fish are also beginning to be viewed as candidates for CRISPR-based genetic rescue. For instance, endangered bird species exhibiting low genetic variation might gain from targeted changes that enhance diversity in vital adaptive traits, while specific fish populations experiencing environmental pressures may improve in thermal tolerance or disease resistance through the introduction of advantageous mutations. These applications highlight the adaptability of CRISPR technology across a wide array of taxa, each of which presents distinct challenges that can be addressed with tailored gene-editing strategies [17,24].

Comparative evaluations of these methods reveal both significant achievements and considerable obstacles. Laboratory investigations have exhibited high editing efficiencies and precise targeting in controlled environments, yet applying these successes to wild populations remains a complicated challenge. Factors such as off-target effects, the delivery of CRISPR components to adult organisms or embryos in natural settings, and the ecological ramifications of gene-edited individuals must be thoroughly examined. Additionally, regulatory, ethical, and societal acceptance issues present further hurdles to field applications [21,25].

Despite these obstacles, the possible advantages of CRISPR for genetic rescue are significant. The technology offers a degree of precision and control those traditional methods like translocation and selective breeding are unable to provide. By integrating CRISPR with genomic monitoring and sophisticated bioinformatics, conservationists may create dynamic, adaptive management strategies that respond to continuous environmental changes and genetic trends. In summary, although CRISPR-based genetic rescue is still in its early phases, its use among various taxa presents considerable potential for reversing the drop in endangered species and improving the long-term resilience of global biodiversity [26].

Challenges, Risks, and Ethical Considerations

The application of CRISPR-based gene editing for conservation and genetic rescue presents a range of challenges, risks, and ethical issues that must be thoroughly assessed before widespread implementation. One of the main technical challenges is the presence of off-target effects. Even with high-fidelity CRISPR variants, unintended changes in the genome can happen, which might result in harmful mutations or unexpected phenotypic changes. These off-target events raise alarms about the lasting stability of altered genomes and could unintentionally endanger the health of the organism. Additionally, the deployment of gene drives a mechanism intended to quickly disseminate desired genetic characteristics across a population adds an additional level of complexity. Gene drives are inherently potent, but their self-replicating nature complicates the management of their dissemination once introduced into the environment, heightening the risk of uncontrolled genetic modifications within ecosystems [10,27].

In addition to technical challenges, ecological dangers also need to be considered. One major concern is the chance of unintended repercussions that could arise from gene editing efforts. Ecosystems are delicately balanced, and changing the genetic composition of a species even one that is endangered can have cascading effects on food chains, interspecies relationships, and the overall functioning of the ecosystem. For instance, while a gene-edited species could develop resistance to

a disease, this resistance might alter competitive dynamics within its ecosystem or influence predator-prey interactions. In addition, there is a risk of edited genes transferring to non-target species via horizontal gene transfer or hybridization, potentially affecting biodiversity in unpredictable manners [28].

Ethical, regulatory, and public acceptance concerns further complicate the realm of gene editing in conservation. Ethical discussions frequently focus on the role of human interference in natural evolutionary processes. Some believe that utilizing technologies like CRISPR to rescue species risks interfering with natural selection, which could weaken the resilience of ecosystems. Others argue that human-induced environmental harm demands active intervention to recover and safeguard biodiversity. Regulatory structures are still developing to respond to these new challenges, and there remains significant uncertainty about how current laws pertain to the introduction of gene-edited organisms into the wild. Clear directives and thorough risk evaluation processes are crucial to ensure that any interventions are both scientifically valid and ethically appropriate [29].

Public opinion significantly influences the success of conservation efforts that employ gene editing. Widespread public doubt and ethical concerns can obstruct research financing and policy backing, making it essential for scientists and conservationists to interact openly with communities. Effective communication tactics are required to clarify the potential advantages and hazards, along with the measures in place to reduce unintended results. Engaging stakeholders including feedback from indigenous populations, local communities, and environmental groups is crucial to fostering trust and obtaining the social license to operate [15,22,29].

In summary, although CRISPR-based genetic rescue presents significant potential for conserving endangered species, the difficulties related to off-target effects, the complexities of gene drives, ecological uncertainties, and ethical concerns highlight the necessity for a careful, well-regulated methodology. A multidisciplinary approach that combines thorough scientific research, detailed ecological risk evaluations, and active public involvement is crucial to guarantee that these groundbreaking tools are utilized responsibly and effectively for the purpose of biodiversity conservation [30].

Future Directions and Emerging Technologies

The future of conservation genetics is anchored in the combination of CRISPR with cutting-edge genomic, bioinformatics, and synthetic biology tools. The swift progress in whole-genome sequencing and computational biology has opened up new avenues for more accurate identification of harmful mutations and adaptive traits vital for species persistence. Machine learning algorithms and bioinformatics workflows now facilitate the precise design of single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs) and prediction of off-target effects, crucial for enhancing the precision of CRISPR. Furthermore, synthetic biology presents novel methods for creating gene circuits that can actively modulate gene expression in reaction to environmental changes. This combination can improve the safety and efficiency of gene-editing efforts, making certain that

modifications are relevant to specific contexts and adaptable over time [24,31].

Aside from technical integration, merging CRISPR-driven gene editing with conventional conservation methods shows considerable potential. Time-honored practices like captive breeding, translocation, and habitat restoration have historically been employed to elevate genetic diversity and population sustainability. By integrating gene-edited individuals exhibiting advantageous traits such as enhanced resistance to diseases or greater fitness into these conservation efforts, researchers may effectively tackle the issues of inbreeding depression and environmental pressures. This integrated approach may result in more robust populations that can endure the challenges posed by climate change and habitat fragmentation [23,32].

Looking ahead, establishing a clear framework for upcoming research and policy formation is imperative. Fundamental research priorities encompass refining delivery techniques for CRISPR components in wild species, executing multi-generational investigations to observe prolonged ecological effects, and further reducing off-target incidences. Concurrently, strong regulatory structures must be crafted that reconcile innovation with ecological safety and ethical concerns. International collaboration is vital; forming global consortia and interdisciplinary collaborations can promote data exchange, standardize procedures, and align regulatory frameworks internationally. Involving a wide array of stakeholders from indigenous communities and local conservationists to legislators and the public will help ensure that the implementation of CRISPR-based technologies is transparent, ethical, and widely accepted [26,32].

The blending of CRISPR with genomics, bioinformatics, and synthetic biology, along with its incorporation into traditional conservation practices, heralds a new chapter in genetic rescue. This multidisciplinary strategy not only possesses the potential to transform the management of endangered species but also acts as a crucial instrument in safeguarding biodiversity amid a rapidly evolving world [32,22].

Conclusions

CRISPR-based genetic rescue signifies a groundbreaking advancement in conservation, providing accurate instruments to rectify harmful mutations and boost genetic diversity in threatened species. This review has emphasized the progression of CRISPR technologies from their initial use in bacterial defense mechanisms to advanced systems that can perform targeted gene editing, even in wild and non-model species. Illustrative examples from various taxa indicate that, when effectively combined with conventional conservation methods, gene editing can substantially enhance population viability and resilience.

The anticipated influence of CRISPR in conservation is considerable, as long as technical issues, such as unintended off-target effects and complexities associated with gene drives, are managed thoughtfully. Equally crucial are the ecological hazards and ethical dilemmas that need to be tackled with thorough regulatory frameworks and proactive engagement of stakeholders. To fully harness the promise of CRISPR-based

solutions, collaboration across disciplines among geneticists, ecologists, policymakers, and local communities is vital. Such alliances will aid in the creation of innovative and responsible strategies for genetic rescue. Ultimately, utilizing CRISPR's potential could be essential for preventing extinctions and promoting a more biodiverse and resilient natural environment.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

1. Phelps MP, Seeb LW, Seeb JE. Transforming ecology and conservation biology through genome editing. Conserv Biol. 2020;34(1):54-65. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13292

2. Ahmad HI, Ahmad MJ, Asif AR, Adnan M, Iqbal MK, Mehmood K, et al. A review of CRISPR-based genome editing: survival, evolution and challenges. Curr Issues Mol Biol. 2018;28(1):47-68. https://doi.org/10.21775/cimb.028.047

3. Yin K, Chung MY, Lan B, Du FK, Chung MG. Plant conservation in the age of genome editing: opportunities and challenges. Genome Biol. 2024;25(1):279. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-024-03399-0

4. Manghwar H, Lindsey K, Zhang X, Jin S. CRISPR/Cas system: recent advances and future prospects for genome editing. Trends Plant Sci. 2019;24(12):1102-1125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2019.09.006

5. Nidhi S, Anand U, Oleksak P, Tripathi P, Lal JA, Thomas G, et al. Novel CRISPR–Cas systems: an updated review of the current achievements, applications, and future research perspectives. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(7):3327. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22073327

6. Whiteley AR, Fitzpatrick SW, Funk WC, Tallmon DA. Genetic rescue to the rescue. Trends Ecol Evol. 2015;30(1):42-49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.10.009

7. Pérez-Pereira N, Caballero A, García-Dorado A. Reviewing the consequences of genetic purging on the success of rescue programs. Conserv Genet. 2022;23(1):1-7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-021-01405-7

8. Frankham R. Genetic rescue of small inbred populations: Meta-analysis reveals large and consistent benefits of gene flow. Mol Ecol. 2015;24(11):2610-2618. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13139

9. Clarke JG, Smith AC, Cullingham CI. Genetic rescue often leads to higher fitness as a result of increased heterozygosity across animal taxa. Mol Ecol. 2024;33(19):e17532. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.17532

10. Bell DA, Robinson ZL, Funk WC, Fitzpatrick SW, Allendorf FW, Tallmon DA, et al. The exciting potential and remaining uncertainties of genetic rescue. Trends Ecol Evol. 2019;34(12):1070-1079. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.06.006

11. Robinson ZL, Coombs JA, Hudy M, Nislow KH, Letcher BH, Whiteley AR. Experimental test of genetic rescue in isolated populations of brook trout. Mol Ecol. 2017;26(17):4418-4433. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14225

12. Ceasar SA, Rajan V, Prykhozhij SV, Berman JN, Ignacimuthu S. Insert, remove or replace: A highly advanced genome editing system using CRISPR/Cas9. Biochim Biophys Acta, Mol Cell Res. 2016;1863(9):2333-2344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2016.06.009

13. Pickar-Oliver A, Gersbach CA. The next generation of CRISPR–Cas technologies and applications. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2019;20(8):490-507. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-019-0131-5

14. Li C, Brant E, Budak H, Zhang B. CRISPR/Cas: a Nobel Prize award-winning precise genome editing technology for gene therapy and crop improvement. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B. 2021;22(4):253-84. https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B2100009

15. Mingarro G, lí del Olmo M. Improvements in the genetic editing technologies: CRISPR-Cas and beyond. Gene. 2023;852:147064. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2022.147064

16. Arora L, Narula A. Gene editing and crop improvement using CRISPR-Cas9 system. Front Plant Sci. 2017;8:1932. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01932

17. Wang JY, Doudna JA. CRISPR technology: A decade of genome editing is only the beginning. Science. 2023;379(6629):eadd8643. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.add8643

18. Eid A, Alshareef S, Mahfouz MM. CRISPR base editors: genome editing without double-stranded breaks. Biochem J. 2018;475(11):1955-1964. https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20170793

19. Mougiakos I, Bosma EF, de Vos WM, van Kranenburg R, van der Oost J. Next generation prokaryotic engineering: the CRISPR-Cas toolkit. Trends Biotechnol. 2016;34(7):575-587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2016.02.004

20. Pandey PK, Quilichini TD, Vaid N, Gao P, Xiang D, Datla R. Versatile and multifaceted CRISPR/Cas gene editing tool for plant research. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2019;96:107-114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2019.04.012

21. Van Eck J. Applying gene editing to tailor precise genetic modifications in plants. J Biol Chem. 2020;295(38):13267-13276. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.REV120.010850

22. Rode NO, Estoup A, Bourguet D, Courtier-Orgogozo V, Débarre F. Population management using gene drive: molecular design, models of spread dynamics and assessment of ecological risks. Conserv Genet. 2019;20:671-690. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-019-01165-5

23. Veillet F, Durand M, Kroj T, Cesari S, Gallois JL. Precision breeding made real with CRISPR: illustration through genetic resistance to pathogens. Plant Commun. 2020;1(5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2020.100102

24. Uthayakumar D, Sharma J, Wensing L, Shapiro RS. CRISPR-based genetic manipulation of Candida species: historical perspectives and current approaches. Front Genome Ed. 2021;2:606281. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgeed.2020.606281

25. Pineda M, Lear A, Collins JP, Kiani S. Safe CRISPR: challenges and possible solutions. Trends Biotechnol. 2019;37(4):389-401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2018.09.010

26. Guo C, Ma X, Gao F, Guo Y. Off-target effects in CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2023;11:1143157. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1143157

27. Marshall JM, Akbari OS. Can CRISPR-based gene drive be confined in the wild? A question for molecular and population biology. ACS Chem Biol. 2018;13(2):424-430. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.7b00923

28. Naeem M, Majeed S, Hoque MZ, Ahmad I. Latest developed strategies to minimize the off-target effects in CRISPR-Cas-mediated genome editing. Cells. 2020;9(7):1608. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9071608

29. Hirakawa MP, Krishnakumar R, Timlin JA, Carney JP, Butler KS. Gene editing and CRISPR in the clinic: current and future perspectives. Biosci Rep. 2020;40(4):BSR20200127. https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20200127

30. Novak BJ, Maloney T, Phelan R. Advancing a new toolkit for conservation: from science to policy. CRISPR J. 2018;1(1):11-15. https://doi.org/10.1089/crispr.2017.0019

31. Macfarlane NB, Adams J, Bennett EL, Brooks TM, Delborne JA, Eggermont H, et al. Direct and indirect impacts of synthetic biology on biodiversity conservation. Iscience. 2022;25(11). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.105423

32. Reynolds JL. Engineering biological diversity: the international governance of synthetic biology, gene drives, and de-extinction for conservation. Curr Opin Environ Sustain. 2021;49:1-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2020.10.001

33. Piaggio AJ, Segelbacher G, Seddon PJ, Alphey L, Bennett EL, Carlson RH, et al. Is it time for synthetic biodiversity conservation? Trends Ecol Evol. 2017;32(2):97-107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.10.016